

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,246	12/31/2003	Bo Yeoun Jo	PIA31075/DBE/US	8861	
36872 7:	590 12/12/2006		EXAM	INER	
	FFICES OF ANDRE	CHAUDHARI, CHANDRA P			
401 W FALLB FRESNO, CA	ROOK AVE STE 204 93711-5835		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2891		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/750,246	JO, BO YEOUN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chandra Chaudhari	2891	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Oct.	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be cause the application to become ABANDS date of this communication, even if timely except for formal matters, ce except for formal matters,	TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DATON. TOON. THE period of the triangle of the communic of the mailing date of this communic of the communic of the triangle of tri	YS,
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10-12 and 14-19 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10-12 and 14-19 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on October 5, 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign property and by Some control of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the certifi	a) accepted or b) object rawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) is aminer. Note the attached Off oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 have been received. have been received in Applicate documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 fice Action or form PTO-152 P(a)-(d) or (f). eation No eived in this National Stage	2.
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Acti	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		24.000

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-12, and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art and Jung – US 2003/0114010.

Applicant's admitted prior art (Figs. 1A-1D and paragraphs 5-11 of the specification) discloses substantially the claimed invention by manufacturing a semiconductor device by sequentially depositing a lower metal layer, an insulating layer, an upper metal layer, a photoresist pattern, etching, forming a second photoresist pattern, and a lower electrode. The admitted prior art does not disclose using O₂N₂ plasma nor removing the polymer using H₂O/CF₄ plasma. Applicant's admitted prior art in paragraph 8 discloses that a cleaning process is performed to remove the polymer, but is not completely removed. Jung (paragraphs 13-14, 42-49, 55-57, and Table 11) teaches to remove polymers generated during an etching step, comprising removing the photoresist pattern using a plasma of O₂ and N₂ and the polymers using a plasma of H₂O and CF₄.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the plasma process of Jung in applicant's admitted prior art process to efficiently remove the photoresist and residue, and polymer residue to reduce device resistance. The time and flow rates may reasonably be optimized depending on the thicknesses of the photoresist, metal, and insulation layers. In fact, Jung teaches flow rate ranges which are within the claimed range. The powers used by Jung are substantially the same. Jung states at paragraph 56 that the photoresist strip and cleaning process can be applied to any other photoresist strip and cleaning processes fabricating various openings whose substructure is formed of a metal hole, line or bar.

Art Unit: 2891

Applicant's arguments filed October 5, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Applicant argues that Jung does not use an atmosphere of essentially O₂ to remove the residues of the photoresist pattern. If applicant contends that additional steps or materials in the prior art are excluded by the recitation of "consisting essentially of," applicant has the burden of showing that the introduction of additional steps or components would materially change the characteristics of applicant's invention. Furthermore, Jung states at paragraphs 48-49 that the gases may be adjusted to have essentially the proper gas flow rates to efficiently remove the photoresist and residue, and polymer for low contact resistance. There is no mention of any hardening of polymers in Jung.

Art Unit: 2891

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandra Chaudhari whose telephone number is 571-272-1688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chandra Chaudhari Primary Examiner Art Unit 2891

Chandra Chaudhari

December 9, 2006